Neurochemistry as a bridge between morphology and behavior: Perspectives on aggression in insects

Andrew N. BUBAK^{1*}, Jaime L. GRACE², Michael J. WATT³, Kenneth J. RENNER⁴, John G. SWALLOW²

¹ Neuroscience Program, University of Colorado-Denver Anschutz Medical Campus, Aurora, CO 80045, USA

² Department of Integrative Biology, University of Colorado-Denver, Denver, CO 80217-3364, USA

³ Center for Brain and Behavior Research, Basic Biomedical Sciences, University of South Dakota, Vermillion SD 57069, USA

⁴ Department of Biology, University of South Dakota, Vermillion SD 57069, USA

Abstract Aggression is a common behavioral trait shared in many animals, including both vertebrates and invertebrates. However, the type and intensity of agonistic encounters and displays can vary widely both across and within species, resulting in complicated or subjective interpretations that create difficulties in developing theoretical models that can be widely applied. The need to easily and objectively identify quantifiable behaviors and their associated morphologies becomes especially important when attempting to decipher the neurological mechanisms underlying this complex behavior. Monoamines, neuropeptides, and pheromones have been implicated as important neuromodulators for agonistic displays in both invertebrates and vertebrates. Additionally, recent breakthroughs in insect research have revealed exciting proximate mechanisms important in aggression that may be broadly relevant, due to the relatively high conservation of these neurochemical systems across animal taxa. In this review, we present the latest research demonstrating the importance of monoamines, neuropeptides, and pheromones as neuromodulators for aggression across a variety of insect species. Additionally, we describe the stalk-eyed fly as a model system for studying aggression, which integrates physiological, morphological, and neurochemical approaches in exploring detailed mechanisms responsible for this common yet complex behavior. We conclude with our perspective on the most promising lines of future research aimed at understanding the proximate and ultimate mechanisms underlying aggressive behaviors [*Current Zoology* 60 (6): 778– 790, 2014].

Keywords Monoamines, Aggression, Insects, Evolutionary physiology, Sexual Selection

Due to the potentially profound fitness benefits of gaining access to limited resources through competitions, both vertebrates and invertebrates commonly exhibit aggressive behavior. Precisely because of this central fitness role, aggression presents a valuable model to explore evolutionary connections between behavior, morphology, and physiology. Since fighting can be costly, animals have evolved a variety of morphological ornaments and armaments that may be employed in intricate signaling displays to convey aggressive intent and fighting ability without engaging physically (Emlen, 2008; Geist, 1966). Insects provide an important, underutilized model to study the connections between behavior, morphology, and physiology, because sexual selection has resulted in the evolution of extraordinary secondary sexual characters that are used in aggressive confrontations as both signals and weapons. Furthermore, research focusing on the neurobiological aspects

involved in aggression has uncovered intrinsic factors such as monoamines, neuropeptides, and pheromones as important modulators of this complex behavior. Importantly, many of these underlying neurochemical modulatory mechanisms appear to have similar functions in other taxa, including vertebrates.

To obtain a better understanding of the mechanisms driving complex behaviors, such as aggression, it is imperative to incorporate morphological and physiological information while also acknowledging underlying neurobiological factors that have a significant modulatory role on behavioral expression. However, gathering these three elements of information within a given taxonomic group can prove difficult, leading to omission of critical data that cannot be supplied by extrapolation from different species. This seems especially pertinent when considering factors such as neuromodulation that may shape proximate expression of individual

Received July 16, 2014; accepted Nov.14, 2014.

^{*} Corresponding author. E-mail: Andrew.Bubak@ucdenver.edu © 2014 Current Zoology

behavior, which could in turn explain why an individual's responses can differ depending on the particular circumstance, e.g., facing a familiar versus unknown opponent. Given that the outcome of aggressive encounters often determines reproductive success, the variables mediating agonistic behavior in the proximate sense will provide the substrate for evolutionary selection. Therefore, the ability to quantify the interaction between morphology and neurophysiology in directing behavioral expression has the potential to allow rigorous testing of currently held hypotheses, such as why certain morphological traits continue to be selected for despite apparent cost in some species but have been lost in relatives. The aim of this review is to present the information from insect studies to demonstrate how neurochemistry can be leveraged to investigate the link between morphology and behavior. We show how these elements can be studied simultaneously by utilizing the stalk-eyed fly as a model system, which incorporates morphological and aggressive variability with highly specific neurochemical detection and manipulation methods. We then provide a perspective on possible future approaches using this model that could benefit our current understanding of complex animal behaviors.

2 Morphological Correlates of Aggression

The diversity and conspicuous nature of morphologies associated with aggressive displays has long attracted the attention of evolutionary biologists. The overwhelming majority of these examples come from sexually dimorphic species, which often experience strong sexual selection (Andersson, 1994). We will use the terms armament to refer to morphologies shaped by intrasexual selection (typically male-male competition) and ornament to refer to morphologies shaped by intersexual selection (usually female choice). The most plausible explanation for the evolution of armaments is their use in combat, and typically the individual with the largest armament is more likely to win aggressive encounters (Eberhard, 1987; Moczek and Emlen, 2000; Wcislo and Eberhard, 1989). However, when armaments are an honest signal of status, they may actually reduce combat, since males can assess one another and an inferior male may choose not to fight in order to avoid unnecessary costs when winning is unlikely. Furthermore, while an ornament does not have to be used in combat, it may either directly or indirectly signal condition, and could potentially be used for assessment

of fighting ability by rival males. Therefore, armaments and ornaments are not necessarily mutually exclusive traits. This is supported by the observation that within many species that experience strong sexual selection, females benefit from choosing males bearing morphological features that also predict high success in intrasexual competition (Berglund et al., 1996; Conner, 1988; Suzaki et al., 2013; Watson and Simmons, 2010). Morphological traits conveying individual status to both sexes may also encompass different sensory modalities in insects, such as auditory information. This is exemplified by the songs of male crickets, which not only communicate competitive ability to rival males but also attractiveness to potential mates (Brown et al., 1996; Brown et al., 2006). Thus, perception of a range of morphological characters, such as armaments and ornaments, by individuals can be a straightforward way to assess fighting ability of potential rivals.

Developmental history is critical for the optimal growth of armaments that will withstand the rigors of combat and, thus, have value as an aggressive signal. Appropriately, this topic has been extensively studied (for review see Emlen and Nijhout, 2000). In the case of holometabolous insects (those that pupate, e.g., flies, beetles, wasps), all the resources necessary to express these traits must be obtained during a discrete larval developmental window, followed by the simultaneous formation of all adult structures during the quiescent pupal phase. Once emergent, adult individuals have little opportunity to alter the expression of morphological structures, which are static upon final eclosion to the adult form due to the rigid nature of the exoskeleton. Thus, resource limitations during development can have important fitness consequences and may ultimately prove the deciding factor for future agonistic encounters.

Two critical periods of development have been associated with the condition-dependent growth of secondary sexual characters in insects (Emlen et al., 2006). The first critical period applies to all insects, and occurs during the end of larval feeding, just before either the final molt to adulthood for hemimetabolous species (e.g., crickets) or the transition to the pupal stage for holometabolous species (e.g., flies). During this time, levels of juvenile hormone (JH) and ecdysone interact to signal the developmental transition from either preadult nymph or larva to the next stage (Emlen and Allen, 2003). Recent experiments indicate that JH regulates condition-dependent expression of mandibles in male stag beetles (Gotoh et al., 2011) and horned flour beetles (Okada et al., 2012). Since mandibles in these beetle species also function as armaments, the fluctuation in circulating JH, as influenced by resources available during larval feeding, may also be a proximate factor in mediating intrasexual competition. For holometabolous insects, a second critical developmental period occurs once the larva has ceased feeding and has entered pupation. This developmental period spans the time during which growth and development of the adult structures actually occurs. During the second critical period, activity of the insulin/insulin-like growth factor signaling (IIS) pathway is associated with development of secondary sexual characters, including ornaments and armaments (Emlen et al., 2006). In beetles, horn growth during the pupal phase (following cessation of larval feeding) is particularly sensitive to circulating insulin levels compared to other developing organs, suggesting another opportunity for larval condition to influence armament expression in the adult (Emlen et al., 2012; Warren et al., 2013). In other words, nutrition accrued during larval feeding can continue to influence developmental signaling pathways in a condition-dependent manner when feeding is no longer occurring. The IIS pathway has also been implicated in determining adult polyphenism in hemimetabolous insects, as demonstrated by its role in the differentiation of termite soldier castes (Hattori et al., 2013). Most likely, the activities of JH and IIS influence each other, with both being affected by either nutrition or condition that will, in turn, depend on larval feeding experience (Abrisqueta et al., 2014; Perez-Hedo et al., 2014).

While most variation in insect armaments appears to be heavily condition-dependent, expression is also heritable to some degree (Gotoh et al., 2012; Unrug et al., 2004), as is social dominance (Moore et al., 2002). Candidate gene studies have revealed that the developmental gene *doublesex* (dsx), which is involved in many aspects of insect sexual dimorphism, has a dramatic impact on the development of armaments both within and between sexes of horned beetles (Kijimoto et al., 2012). Recent investigations have also shown that the dsx gene in male stag beetles is more sensitive to circulating JH levels, which promotes growth of enlarged male-type mandibles that are used during intrasexual competition (Gotoh et al., 2014). Sequencing of RNA suggests that several other undescribed genes involved in the expression of scarab beetle horns are under recent positive selection, most likely caused by sexual selection favoring larger armaments (Warren et al., 2014). Combined, this raises the possibility that genes such as dsx will infer some intrasexual heritability in armaments to influence future success during agonistic conflicts. However, the degree to which genetic makeup will affect armament growth appears to be intimately linked with larval experience and expression of condition-dependent factors such as JH.

If competitors have similar developmental and/or genetic histories and so have comparable morphology, how can one predict the victor? In this case, it may be beneficial to consider individual social and environmental factors that affect adulthood. Within insects, sexually mature males typically show the most aggressive behaviors, and aggression is usually increased in larger males (Dixon and Cade, 1986; Moore et al., 2014). In cases where males defend territories, resident males often have an advantage over non-resident males in aggressive disputes (Simmons, 1986). Additionally, older individuals are more likely to show increased aggression in contests, both with age-matched and younger opponents, and have an increased probability of winning fights against younger opponents (Stockermans and Hardy, 2013; Tsai et al., 2014). Levels of aggression have also been shown to increase with social density (Wang and Anderson, 2010). Another contributing factor could be motivational asymmetry. For example, male crickets that have experienced restricted access to mating opportunities are both more aggressive and more likely to win contests against males that have continuous access to females (Brown et al., 2007). Furthermore, the act of winning or losing a contest in itself can affect future outcomes, although experimental evidence supporting either winner or loser effects has been mixed (see Chase et al., 1994) and may depend upon opponent familiarity as demonstrated for some vertebrate species (Forster et al., 2005; Ling et al., 2010). However, to fully understand the utilization of armaments by different species during actual competition, it is imperative to consider other intrinsic factors that have a role in the success of competitions. For example, what neurobiological factors influence the motivational state of opponents, and can this be enough to overcome morphological biases?

3 Neurochemical and Pheromonal Modulation of Aggression

Much of the pioneering work investigating the roles of various neurochemicals, namely monoamines, on invertebrate aggression was completed in arthropods, specifically crustaceans (Livingstone et al., 1980; Harris-Warrick and Kravitz, 1984; Huber et al., 1997). More recently, the powerful genetic tools available to alter brain function in Drosophila (Baier et al., 2002; Miczek et al., 2007), as well as pharmacological interventions that alter the highly ritualized and characterized fighting behavior of crickets (Adamo and Hoy, 1995; Stevenson et al., 2000; Adamo et al., 1995), have provided insight into the neuromodulation of this complex behavior in insects. Taken as a whole, these studies have sometimes yielded contradictory or ambiguous results, which may arise from such factors as species differences, rearing conditions, subjective behavioral scoring, receptor subtype expression, and genetic background. Nevertheless, there appears to be a close relationship between the effects of the monoamines octopamine and serotonin, along with other less studied neuropeptides and pheromones in the modulation of aggressive displays, indicating a high degree of evolutionary conservation in the behavioral function of these systems across arthropods.

3.1 Octopamine

An important aspect in animal aggression is the decision to engage in a potentially costly, although sometimes valuable, antagonistic interaction. The physiological activity accompanying initial opponent assessment is generally referred to as the fight or flight response, mediated in mammals and other vertebrates by the sympathetic adrenergic/noradrenergic systems (Nelson and Trainor, 2007; Watt et al., 2007). In insects, however, there does not appear to be a physiological role for these catecholamines. Instead, insects rely on two other monoamines, tyramine (TA) and its hydroxylated metabolite, the norepinephrine analog octopamine (OA), to work in a physiologically similar manner (Roeder et al., 2003; Roeder, 2005; Verlinden et al., 2010; Farooqui, 2012).

The octopaminergic system has been convincingly implicated in the motivation and escalation of aggressive behavior in some insect species (Adamo et al., 1995; Stevenson et al., 2000; Baier et al., 2002; Stevenson et al., 2005; Hoyer et al., 2008; Zhou et al., 2008; Rillich and Stevenson, 2011; Stevenson and Schildberger, 2013). Much of this research was conducted in crickets and fruit flies, utilizing both pharmacological and genetic approaches. In male crickets, one of the first suggestions for the role of OA in modulating insect aggression came from the finding that OA increased in the hemolymph following agonistic encounters (Adamo et al., 1995). Subsequently, depletion of dopamine (DA) and OA in male crickets by hemocoel injections of the tyrosine hydroxylase inhibitor, alpha-methyl-p-tyrosine (AMT), was found to reduce the initiation, level and

duration of aggressive behaviors (Stevenson et al., 2000). The reduced aggressive responses were linked to the depletion of OA, rather than DA, since the behavior was rescued by treatment with the OA receptor agonist chlordimeform in OA-depleted crickets and suppressed in non OA-depleted crickets treated with the OA antagonist epinastine (Stevenson et al., 2005). However, it should be noted that while OA depletion in crickets reduces the intensity of fights, expression of aggressive behavior is not totally abolished (Stevenson et al., 2000). This suggests that for male crickets, the primary role of OA is to increase the individual's willingness to escalate the level of aggression once the fight has actually been initiated. In contrast, recent evidence suggests that DA, rather than OA, is necessary for the recovery of aggression in crickets that have been socially defeated (Rillich and Stevenson, 2014), possibly by modulating the motivation to initiate future agonistic encounters.

A similar role for OA in modulating Drosophila aggression has been suggested from work conducted in mutant flies. Drosophila mutants lacking the enzyme tyramine- β -hydroxylase (T β H), which catalyzes the synthesis of OA from TA, exhibit reduced aggression (Baier et al., 2002; Hoyer et al., 2008, Zhou et al., 2008). Conversely, both treatment with the OA receptor agonist chlordimeform and overexpression of TBH, independently increased aggression in socially reared flies (Zhou et al., 2008). These responses appear to be governed by a specific population of octopaminergic neurons found in the suboesophageal ganglion (Zhou et al., 2008). The role of OA in Drosophila aggression may involve neuromodulatory regulation of contextually appropriate behavioral responses to sensory cues conveyed by the opponent, since the absence of OA induces courtship behavior between males rather than aggression (Certel et al., 2007).

3.2 Serotonin (5-HT)

The evolutionarily ancient monoamine, serotonin (5-HT), has fundamental roles in a variety of physiological processes in both vertebrates and invertebrates. Although widely studied in other taxa, relatively few investigations have examined the role of 5-HT in insect aggression. As with OA, much of the pioneering work suggesting that 5-HT enhances aggression in arthropods was completed in crustaceans (Livingstone et al., 1980; Edwards and Kravitz, 1997; Huber et al., 1997). For example, both acute and constant infusion of 5-HT into the hemolymph of crayfish *Astacus astacus* increases the likelihood and duration for a smaller individual to fight, in a potentially costly agonistic interaction, with a larger, dominant opponent (Huber et al., 1997). However, elevated 5-HT levels did not affect either the outcome of fights or the escalation pattern of fighting behaviors. In contrast, in a different species of crayfish *Procambarus clarkia*, reduced levels of aggression were observed following 5-HT injections (Tierney and Mangiamele, 2001) suggesting species specificity in neuromodulatory effects of 5-HT. Interpretations of these findings, along with others, led to the initial suggestion that 5-HT does not have a direct effect on arthropod aggression, but instead may have a modulatory role in the decision to retreat from a fight (Peeke et al., 2000; Kravitz and Huber, 2003).

In insects, specifically crickets and *Drosophila*, 5-HT was initially reported to have little influence on aggressive behavior (Stevenson et al., 2000; Baier et al., 2002). Pharmacologically depleting 5-HT in crickets by administration of the 5-HT synthesis inhibitor α -methyl-tryptophan (AMTP) failed to alter the expression of either aggressive or submissive behaviors (Stevenson et al., 2000). Similarly, aggressive behavior in *Drosophila* was not significantly altered either by selective depletion of 5-HT using the irreversible tryptophan hydroxylase inhibitor, p-chlorophenylalanine, or by enhancing 5-HT levels via administration of the 5-HT precursor, 5-hydroxytryptophan (5-HTP; Baier et al., 2002).

In contrast, other more recent studies using similar methods to alter 5-HT suggest that it may actually play a significant role in modulating aggressive behaviors in both crickets and Drosophila. Pretreatment of crickets with 5-HTP increased some components of cricket fighting behavior, such as fight duration, but decreased the number of attacks and did not appear to alter fight outcome (Dyakonova and Krushinsky, 2013). Drosophila either pretreated with 5-HTP or genetically modified to overexpress tryptophan hydroxylase to increase 5-HT, exhibit increased aggression (Dierick and Greenspan, 2007). However, depletion of 5-HT, either through genetic manipulation or by the administration of AMTP, did not significantly reduce aggressive behavior when compared to controls, suggesting that 5-HT modulates but is not necessary for the expression of aggression in Drosophila (Dierick and Greenspan, 2007). This role for serotonergic modulation of aggression is supported by the demonstration that Drosophila in which 5-HT neurons were selectively inhibited can exhibit aggression but show a limited ability to escalate the fight (Alekseyenko et al., 2010). Conversely, both fight intensity and rate of fight escalation were increased by selective serotonergic activation (Alekseyenko et al.,

2010).

Studies in our laboratory suggest an important role for 5-HT in aggression in a different dipteran species, the stalk-eyed fly *Teleopsis dalmanni*. Pharmacologically administering 5-HTP markedly increases the probability of winning an aggressive contest in sized-matched pairs, as well as increasing the incidence of high-intensity aggressive behaviors (Bubak et al., 2014). In accordance with the previously mentioned crayfish work, we also saw a reduction in the motivation to retreat with increases in brain 5-HT concentrations (Bubak et al., 2014). The function of 5-HT in influencing individual aggression and opponent assessment in stalk-eyed flies is discussed in more detail in Section IV.

Overall, these results suggest that increased activity in arthropod serotonergic systems contributes to species -specific expression of particular components of aggressive behaviors, but may not be required for the absolute display of aggression. These studies, particularly those using pharmacological manipulations to globally increase or decrease 5-HT, represent net serotonergic effects and do not provide the ability to differentiate more subtle serotonergic actions mediated by different 5-HT receptor subtypes. An approach that will be informative in future research will be to test behavioral outcomes following targeted manipulations of specific serotonergic receptors using pharmacological or genetic methods. Indeed, pharmacologically targeting different 5-HT receptors in socially isolated Drosophila indicates that 5-HT₂-like receptor activation decreases a subset of aggressive behaviors such as lunging, while activation of 5-HT_{1A}-like receptors increases specific behaviors such wing threats (Johnson et al., 2009). This finding is important, revealing insights into potential molecular mechanisms responsible for specific aspects of aggression not provided by broader experiments focusing on whole system deprivation or elevation of 5-HT. Similarly, selective manipulation of each monoamine and subsequent association of its activity with specific aspects of aggressive behavior, (e.g., OA activity escalates aggressive intensity, while 5-HT activity either reduces motivation to retreat or modulates use of specific agonistic signals depending on receptor subtype) may be fruitful in unraveling how complex interactions among neurotransmitter systems can modulate proximate behavioral expression, which may in turn dictate fight outcome and reproductive fitness.

3.3 Pheromones and Neuropeptides

Another critical component in aggressive behavior is recognition of potential opponents. The ability of insects to discriminate between potential rivals or mates is mediated, in part, by chemosensory communication. For example, detection of sex-specific pheromones by Drosophila males directs subsequent expression of social behavior, with either aggressive or courtship behavior evoked by male and female pheromones, respectively (Fernandez et al., 2010; Ferveur, 2005). The sexually dimorphic nature of these pheromones is evidenced by the elicitation of male attacks on females after masculinizing the females' pheromones (Fernandez et al., 2010). In Drosophila males, the volatile pheromone, 11-cisvaccenyl acetate (cVA), is associated with the stimulation of male-male aggression, acting through olfactory receptor neurons (Wang and Anderson, 2010; Liu et al., 2011). Interestingly, acute exposure of socially-naïve male flies to cVA increases aggression, while chronic exposure such as prolonged social housing suppresses the behavior (Liu et al., 2011). These bidirectional actions of cVA are mediated through activation of two distinct olfactory receptor neurons, with aggression increased and suppressed by Or67d and Or65a receptors, respectively (Liu et al., 2011). This finding suggests a role for cVA in regulation of behavior according to social experience (Liu et al., 2011), and highlights the need to identify specific ligand substrates when determining mechanisms by which aggression is modulated, as discussed above for 5-HT.

Although the mechanisms by which pheromones modulate aggression are not completely understood, it is likely that pheromone reception is intimately linked to biogenic amine systems in some insect species. As mentioned above, recognition of male-specific sensory cues in Drosophila and subsequent expression of contextually appropriate behavior is disrupted by decreasing OA activity (Certel et al., 2007). Moreover, neurons expressing taste receptors that differentiate male and female pheromones connect functionally and synaptically with distinct OA neurons in male Drosophila, and both ablation of the taste receptor neurons and decreasing OA synthesis reduce male-male aggression (Andrews et al., 2014). Recent work in our laboratory has found different monoamine profiles in the brains of pavement ants Tetramorium caespitum, a species that exhibits social warfare, following exposure to hydrocarbons from nest-mate or non nest-mate colonies (Bubak, unpublished results). Specifically, when ants came in contact with a nest-mate or were exposed to beads saturated in nest-mate hydrocarbons, 5-HT was significantly elevated (Bubak, unpublished results). Conversely, exposure of ants to beads saturated in non nest-mate hydrocarbons induced both mandible biting directed towards the beads and increased levels of brain OA (Bubak, unpublished results). Combined, the studies with *Drosophila* (Certel et al., 2007; Andrews et al. 2014) and our work with pavement ants suggest that identification of potential rivals and subsequent behavioral responses in these species is mediated by activation of 5-HT and OA systems following pheromone detection.

Neuropeptides have also been implicated in the expression of invertebrate aggression. Using the genetic tools available for Drosophila, studies have focused on the role of neuropeptides in modulating insect aggression, in an attempt to uncover phylogenetic similarities. For example, Drosophila possess male-specific neurons that express a gene encoding for the neuropeptide, Tachykinin (Tk) (Asahina et al., 2014). This peptide is homologous to Substance P, a mammalian peptide associated with increased aggression in a number of vertebrate species (Halasz et al., 2009; Katsouni et al., 2009; Siegel et al., 1997). Activation of Tk-containing neurons in Drosophila increases male-male aggression, while silencing Tk neurons decreases aggression (Asahina et al., 2014). Notably, neither manipulation altered malefemale courtship behaviors (Asahina et al., 2014). Additionally, the invertebrate homolog to neuropeptide Y, neuropeptide F (NPF), has been posited to have an inhibitory role in aggression in Drosophila, with elevated aggression observed following genetic silencing of NPF circuits (Dierick and Greenspan, 2007). Aggression in male mice is similarly increased by decreasing neuropeptide Y activity through genetic deletion of neuropeptide Y receptors (Karl et al., 2004). In line with the conservation of neuropeptide function between vertebrates and invertebrates, transcription factors regulating neuropeptide signaling have been identified in the pars intercerebralis (PI) of the Drosophila brain (Davis et al., 2014). This brain area is thought to be functionally and structurally similar to the mammalian hypothalamus, which has been shown to play a role in aggression through modulation of neuropeptide signaling in several mammalian species (Hartenstein, 2006; Kruk et al., 1984; Gregg and Siegel, 2001).

The general interactive functions of monoamines, chemosensory signals and neuropeptide systems in modulating aggressive behavior appear to share a high degree of conservation across both arthropods and vertebrates. This highlights the value of utilizing animals with relatively simplified neural circuitries, such as insects, for future investigations directed towards gaining more in-depth perspectives on neurobiological factors underlying this complex social behavior. Information gained from such studies can then be applied to understanding mechanisms governing aggression in higher order, more complex nervous systems. Similarly, using insect models to elucidate how a given neurobiological factor may have different behavioral effects depending on social ecology could provide insight into what factors will come under selection pressure to ultimately produce species differences in expression of behavior in other taxa, as has been proposed for applying parallels between neuropeptide signaling and sociality in birds to other vertebrates (Goodson et al., 2005). The ease of gathering such information in insect models can thus direct whether generalizations about neurochemical modulation of aggression may be applied broadly because of conservation in systems across taxa, or if specific nuances in natural history need to be taken into account to explain why departures from observed patterns have evolved.

4 The Stalk-Eyed Fly as a Model System for Studying Aggression

Stalk-eved flies (Diptera; Diopsidae) have emerged as an excellent model system for understanding how sexual selection drives the evolution of showy male traits and associated behaviors (Burkhardt and de la Motte, 1988; Wilkinson et al., 1998), particularly for studies of morphology (Hingle et al., 2001; Wilkinson and Reillo, 1994; Worthington et al., 2012; Husak et al., 2013), physiology and performance (Swallow et al., 2000; Swallow et al., 2009; Ribak and Swallow, 2007; Ribak et al., 2009a), and neurobiology (Buschbeck and Hoy, 1998; Worthington and Swallow, 2010; Egge et al., 2011; Egge and Swallow 2011; Bubak et al., 2013; Bubak et al., 2014). Males and females of all species in the family Diopsidae are hypercephalic, with the eye bulbs displaced on the ends of long stalks. Recognized as an important feature in diopsid mating systems, eyestalks are used extensively as ornamental signals in both intra and intersexual interactions (Wilkinson and Dodson, 1997; Wilkinson and Johns, 2005). In sexually dimorphic species of stalk-eyed flies, such as Teleopsis dalmanni (Fig. 1), male mating success is positively correlated with eye span (Burkhardt et al., 1994; Wilkinson and Reillo, 1994; Cotton et al., 2010). Females also show a preference for males with longer eye spans (Wilkinson et al., 1998; Burkhardt and de la Motte, 1988). In addition, males compete for access to the limiting resources of food and mates by following a stereotyped fighting repertoire that typically begins with the lining up of eyestalks (Lorch et al., 1993; Panhuis and Wilkinson, 1999, Egge et al., 2011). Such observations indicate that eye span is under current sexual selection.

Use of eye span as a communicative signal during male-male competition in stalk-eyed flies is best characterized in sexually dimorphic species such as T. dalmanni and T whitei. Larger males with broader eye spans typically win agonistic contests, thereby excluding smaller rivals and gaining access to the contested limiting resources (Burkhardt and de la Motte 1987; Cotton et al 2010; Egge and Swallow 2011; Panhuis and Wilkinson, 1999). Males use multiple displays that escalate from lower to higher intensity behaviors in a stereotyped manner (Egge et al., 2011, but see Brandt and Swallow, 2009) in what appears to be mutual assessment, in order to compare asymmetries in ornament size and fighting ability. Conflicts typically begin with males facing each other and lining up their eyestalks in a parallel manner (de la Motte and Burkhardt, 1983; Panhuis and Wilkinson, 1999). In encounters where opponent eyestalks are evenly matched in size, the individuals will gradually progress to expression of low-intensity behaviors consisting of non-combative actions such as forearm flexing or rearing (see Fig. 2). If an opponent does not retreat at this point, high-intensity aggressive behaviors typically follow. These involve physical contact, and comprise elements such as lunges, jump attacks, or tussles (see Fig. 2). High-intensity physical fights are non-lethal and usually result in one opponent departing uninjured. Aggressive interactions can de-



Fig. 1 Representative images of both male and female stalk-eyed flies from a sexually dimorphic species, *T. dal-manni*, and a sexually monomorphic species, *T.quinquegut-tata*

Note the pronounced eyestalk elongation of the male *T. dalmanni* compared to the male *T. quinqueguttata*.



Fig. 2 Flow chart of aggressive behaviors exhibited by *T. dalmanni*

Encounters begin with lining up of eyestalks, which can then lead to low-intensity behaviors, followed by either conflict resolution or further escalation to high-intensity behaviors. Modified from Egge et al., 2011.

escalate at any stage of the conflict, and are terminated when one of the rivals capitulates and retreats (Egge et al., 2011).

Aggression in sexually monomorphic stalk-eyed flies has not been as well studied, but appears to differ from dimorphic species in terms of frequency and components. For example, males of T. quinqueguttata are morphologically indistinguishable from females in terms of eye span (Fig. 1), and initiate far less aggressive interactions than any of the dimorphic species that have been measured to date (Panhuis and Wilkinson, 1999). In addition, the repertoire of behaviors displayed by T. quinqueguttata includes a larger array of lowintensity, non-contact displays including wing threats and bobbing (P Johns unpublished). This difference in morphology and behavioral repertoires between sexually dimorphic and monomorphic species is useful for comparative analyses and may provide valuable insight into the evolution of signals used in this complex behavior.

Aggressive interactions in stalk-eyed flies are easily characterized and quantifiable. This offers an ideal platform for understanding how the neurobiological factors governing individual differences in behavioral expression and ornament use may provide a proximate mechanism to be acted upon by sexual selection. To investigate the neural mechanisms underlying individual variation in aggression in stalk-eyed flies, we developed a method sufficiently sensitive to detect and quantify a variety of different monoamines (including 5-HT, DA, and OA) from the brain of a single fly using high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with electrochemical detection (Bubak et al., 2013). This quantification from an individual subject contrasts with other methods using smaller insects such as Drosophila, where it is common to pool brain samples to get accurate measurements. This ability to measure monoamines from a single fly has proven to be an important tool for understanding how individual differences in concentrations of monoamines, such as 5-HT, contribute to contest intensity and outcome. For example, we conducted a study in which some males had 5-HT levels elevated via oral administration of the 5-HT precursor, 5-HTP. When pitted in dvadic interactions against non-treated size-matched controls, 5-HTP-treated flies won 70% of the fights (Bubak et al., 2014), in line with the relationship between elevated 5-HT and increased aggression reported in Drosophila (Dierick and Greenspan, 2007; Alekseyenko et al., 2010). Consistent with this, a portion of the 30% of treated males that lost fights actually had 5-HT levels that were lower than the endogenous concentrations of their control opponents, despite having being treated earlier with 5-HTP. Reanalysis of fight outcome and brain 5-HT concentrations further showed that winners had higher mean brain 5-HT concentrations when compared to losers, regardless of pretreatment. In addition, high-intensity aggression was negatively correlated with the difference in 5-HT concentrations between opponents, such that individuals with more closely matched 5-HT engaged in more high-intensity behaviors and longer interactions before the conflict was resolved (Bubak et al., 2014). These results demonstrate that contest intensity and outcome are not simply a function of absolute levels in 5-HT, but are instead dependent upon the relative difference in 5-HT concentrations between size-matched opponents. Such a finding is reliant upon the ability to measure central monoamine levels from individual subjects, which can then be combined with pharmacology and correlated with behavioral expression. Issues such as this could have large implications in studies where the species is too small to be analyzed on the individual level, and further highlight the use of stalk-eyed flies as a model system for detecting potential relationships between neurophysiological mechanisms, morphology of armaments, and aggressive behavior.

While relative differences in 5-HT concentrations appear to dictate contests between size-matched stalkeyed flies, a major component in winning an aggressive encounter between two conspecifics is size discrepancy, where in most cases, the larger individual is victorious. This remains true in T. dalmanni, where the larger opponent predominantly excludes smaller rivals from resources (Burkhardt and de la Motte, 1987; Wilkinson et al., 1998). One way to understand how a proximate neurobiological mechanism may be acted upon evolutionarily to determine behavioral phenotypes, would be to show that intrinsic factors such as elevated neural 5-HT can influence fight outcomes that would otherwise be decided by morphological discrepancies, and that differences in 5-HT activity are primarily involved in modulation of aggression rather than with factors that may otherwise indirectly influence reproductive success. To investigate how changing 5-HT concentrations could alter a morphologically biased fight, we designed a "David vs. Goliath" experiment where the smaller "Davids" had pharmacologically elevated 5-HT. Although the fight outcome did not significantly change, with larger untreated opponents still winning the majority of the fights, the behaviors of both participants were altered (Swallow, unpublished results). The treated "Davids" performed more high-intensity aggressive behaviors, and retreated less, compared to untreated controls. Interestingly, the "Goliaths" facing treated "Davids" altered their behavior by more quickly escalating to higher intensities, as well as initiating more high-intensity aggressive behaviors. This increased frequency in initiations and decreased latency to high-intensity behavior by the larger, untreated opponents suggests an assessment of their rivals, with the larger male changing behavioral expression to match the increased aggressiveness of the smaller, treated opponent. In what normally presents as a lopsided fight in favor of the larger participant, altering intrinsic neurobiological factors, such as 5-HT in the smaller opponent, creates a contest closely resembling morphologically size-matched opponents.

Applying the stalk-eyed fly as a model system to study aggression is becoming an increasingly attractive opportunity. The species diversity with respect to monoand dimorphic phenotypes allows researchers to investigate behavioral differences associated with morphological characteristics. Furthermore, the reliable non-invasive ability to manipulate endogenous 5-HT and other neurochemicals from a single animal can be correlated with the well-described and easily quantifiable aggressive behavioral expression by the same individual. Overall, the stalk-eyed fly creates an exciting model system to investigate how central nervous system chemicals modulate behavior and may play an intricate role in shaping overall morphology.

5 Perspectives

To obtain a more complete understanding of aggression, it is imperative to incorporate neurobiological, morphological, and behavioral information. However, obtaining this information, particularly the physiological and neurological data, can prove difficult in organisms with complex central nervous systems and behavioral responses, such as vertebrates. Many of the molecular and physiological processes involved in generating complex behaviors in both vertebrates and invertebrates are highly conserved. Therefore, focusing on invertebrates, with their relatively simple nervous system and often well-characterized aggressive behavioral patterns, could prove beneficial (Bubak et al., 2014). Insects are extremely well suited to aggression models, with significant behavioral (e.g., aggressive and nonaggressive) and morphological (e.g., weapon possessing and non-possessing) diversity providing a rich resource to test larger evolutionary questions. Understanding how the neural circuitries and underlying genetic factors mediate the behavioral processes and development of specific distinct morphological features in individual insect species will help elucidate the evolutionary and ecological connections between morphology, behavior, and physiology, and may provide insights into these same processes in vertebrates. Currently, much of this work being conducted in insects focuses heavily on just a few species such as crickets and Drosophila. Obviously, in depth investigations of the physiological and genetic underpinnings of aggression in these species is invaluable. However, it is also vital to apply these findings across a wider range of taxa, with distinctive ornaments, mating systems, and behavioral repertoires, to achieve a more comprehensive understanding of this widely expressed behavior.

A specific advantage to using the stalk-eyed fly as a model system for studying aggression, as mentioned earlier, is the utilization of the vast morphological and behavioral differences we see among species. For instance, sexual dimorphism in eyestalk length is a trait that has arisen and been lost multiple times in the Diopsid family (Baker and Wilkinson, 2001), and appears to correlate with differences in the frequency and components of aggressive behavior. A recent molecular phylogenetic analysis of over 30 (Baker et al., 2009) of the estimated 150+ species in the family (Steyskal, 1972; Feijen, 1983; Feijen, 1989) provides a robust framework for mapping differences in neurochemical modulation of aggression according to species relatedness. In turn, this may illustrate how selection can shift from emphasis on static morphological signals to favoring more plastic physiological mechanisms, and hence explain continued emergence of dimorphism versus monomorphism in this family. The differences in behavior and morphology among stalk-eyed flies also lends well to large comparative studies. This strategy has been successfully used to study a variety of evolutionary questions at the organismal level, including speciation in closely related populations of T. dalmanni (Swallow et al., 2005; Christianson et al., 2005) and the co-evolution of ornaments with morphology and locomotor performance (Husak et al., 2011a; Husak et al., 2011b; Ribak et al., 2009b). Similarly, a comparative genomic approach has been used to investigate genes underlying the development of the sexually selected and sexually dimorphic ornaments, eye span, that define stalk-eyed flies (Baker et al., 2009; Wilkinson et al., 2013). It will be interesting to identify genes responsible for aggressive behavior that have been gained and lost, along with eye span length, throughout evolution of the stalk-eyed fly family. Furthermore, the application of genomic techniques in the field, becoming increasingly more accessible by nucleic acid preservation methods, provides an exciting opportunity to tease out specific proximate mechanisms of aggressive displays by studying gene expression differences in geographically separated wild populations, which also show differences in fighting repertoires.

As research into proximate mechanisms responsible for mediating aggression progresses, it will be interesting to determine the level of conservation between invertebrates, such as insects, and vertebrates. Tools such as next-generation sequencing are invaluable for understanding the degree of conservation at the gene level (see Toth and Robinson 2007 for a review on using "genetic toolkits" for elucidating mechanistic conservation underlying behavioral expression), while the ability to manipulate and measure neurochemical activity within individual insects offers a powerful means of examining why variation exists in aggressive behavior, signal use, and contest outcome. Identifying and comparing such proximate mechanisms among behaviorally and morphologically distinct insect species could provide valuable insight into the evolution of the extensive, often bizarre, variety of morphological features and behavioral repertoires used during aggressive competition.

Acknowledgements We thank Dr. Rebecca Safran and Dr. Maren Vitousek for the invitation to contribute to this special

column of Current Zoology. We also thank the reviewers for their helpful critiques. This work was supported by NSF grant IOS 1256898 (to JGS).

References

- Abrisqueta M, Suren-Castillo S, Maestro JL, 2014. Insulin receptormediated nutritional signalling regulates juvenile hormone biosynthesis and vitellogenin production in the German cockroach. Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol. 49: 14–23.
- Adamo SA, Hoy RR, 1995. Agonistic behaviour in male and female field crickets *Gryllus bimaculatus*, and how behavioural context influences its expression. Animal Behaviour 49(6): 1491–1501.
- Adamo SA, Linn CE, Hoy RR, 1995. The role of neurohormonal octopamine during'fight or flight'behaviour in the field cricket *Gryllus bimaculatus*. Journal of Experimental Biology 198(8): 1691–1700.
- Alekseyenko OV, Lee C, Kravitz EA, 2010. Targeted manipulation of serotonergic neurotransmission affects the escalation of aggression in adult male *Drosophila melanogaster*. PLoS ONE 5(5): e10806.
- Andersson M, 1994. Sexual Selection. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Andrews JC, Fernandez MP, Yu Q, Leary GP, Leung AK et al., 2014. Octopamine neuromodulation regulates Gr32a-linked aggression and courtship pathways in *Drosophila* males. PLoS Genet. 10(5): e1004356.
- Asahina K, Watanabe K, Duistermars BJ, Hoopfer E, González CR et al., 2014. Tachykinin-expressing neurons control malespecific aggressive arousal in *Drosophila*. Cell 156(1): 221– 235.
- Baier A, Wittek B, Brembs B, 2002. Drosophila as a new model organism for the neurobiology of aggression? Journal of Experimental Biology 205(9): 1233–1240.
- Baker RH, Wilkinson GS, 2001. Phylogenetic analysis of sexual dimorphism and eye-span allometry in stalk-eyed flies (Diopsidae). Evolution 55(7): 1373–1385.
- Baker RH, Morgan J, Wang X, Boore JL, Wilkinson GS, 2009. Genomic analysis of a sexually-selected character: EST sequencing and microarray analysis of eye-antennal imaginal discs in the stalk-eyed fly *Teleopsis dalmanni* (Diopsidae). BMC genomics 10(1): 361.
- Berglund A, Bisazza A, Pilastro A, 1996. Armaments and ornaments: An evolutionary explanation of traits of dual utility. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 58: 385–399.
- Brandt Y, Swallow JG, 2009. Do the elongated eye stalks of Diopsid flies facilitate rival assessment? Behavioral ecology and sociobiology, 63(8): 1243–1246.
- Brown WD, Chimenti AJ, Siebert JR, 2007. The payoff of fighting in house crickets: Motivational asymmetry increases male aggression and mating success. Ethology 113: 457–465.
- Brown WD, Smith AT, Moskalik B, Gabriel J, 2006. Aggressive contests in house crickets: Size, motivation and the information content of aggressive songs. Anim Behav 72: 225–233.
- Brown WD, Wideman J, Andrade MCB, Mason AC, Gwynne DT, 1996. Female choice for an indicator of male size in the song of the black-horned tree cricket *Oecanthus nigricornis* (Orthoptera: Gryllidae: Oecanthinae). Evolution 50: 2400–2411.

- Bubak AN, Renner KJ, Swallow JG, 2014. Heightened serotonin influences contest outcome and enhances expression of highintensity aggressive behaviors. Behavioural Brain Research 259: 137–142.
- Bubak AN, Swallow JG, Renner KJ, 2013. Whole brain monoamine detection and manipulation in a stalk-eyed fly. Journal of Neuroscience Methods 219(1): 124–130.
- Burkhardt D, de la Motte I, 1987. Physiological, behavioural, and morphometric data elucidate the evolutive significance of stalked eyes in Diopsidae (Diptera). Entomologia Generalis 12 (4): 221–233.
- Burkhardt D, de la Motte I, 1988. Big 'antlers' are favoured: Female choice in stalk-eyed flies (Diptera, Insecta), field collected harems and laboratory experiments. Journal of Comparative Physiology A 162(5): 649–652.
- Burkhardt D, de La Motte I, Lunau K, 1994. Signalling fitness: Larger males sire more offspring. studies of the stalk-eyed fly *Cyrtodiopsis whitei* (Diopsidae, Diptera). Journal of Comparative Physiology A 174(1): 61–64.
- Buschbeck EK, Hoy RR, 1998. Visual system of the stalk-eyed fly *Cyrtodiopsis quinqueguttata* (Diopsidae, Diptera): An anatomical investigation of unusual eyes. Journal of Neurobiology 37(3): 449–468.
- Certel SJ, Savella MG, Schlegel DC, Kravitz EA, 2007. Modulation of *Drosophila* male behavioral choice. PNAS 104(11): 4706–4711.
- Chase ID, Bartolomeo C, Dugatkin LA, 1994. Aggressive interactions and inter-contest interval: How long do winners keep winning? Animal Behaviour 48(2): 393–400.
- Christianson SJ, Swallow JG, Wilkinson GS, 2005. Rapid evolution of postzygotic reproductive isolation in stalk-eyed flies. Evolution 59(4): 849–857.
- Conner J, 1988. Field measurements of natural and sexual selection in the fungus beetle *Bolitotherus cornutus*. Evolution 42: 736–749.
- Cotton S, Small J, Hashim R, Pomiankowski A, 2010. Eyespan reflects reproductive quality in wild stalk-eyed flies. Evolutionary Ecology 24(1): 83–95.
- Davis SM, Thomas AL, Nomie KJ, Huang L, Dierick HA, 2014. Tailless and Atrophin control *Drosophila* aggression by regulating neuropeptide signalling in the pars intercerebralis. Nature Communications 5: 3177.
- de La Motte I, Burkhardt D, 1983. Portrait of an Asian stalk-eyed fly. Naturwissenschaften 70(9): 451–461.
- Dierick HA, Greenspan RJ, 2007. Serotonin and neuropeptide F have opposite modulatory effects on fly aggression. Nature Genetics 39(5): 678–682.
- Dixon KA, Cade WH, 1986. Some factors influencing male-male aggression in the field cricket *Gryllus integer* (time of day, age, weight, and sexual maturity). Anim. Behav. 34: 340–346.
- Dyakonova VE, Krushinsky AL, 2013. Serotonin precursor (5hydroxytryptophan) causes substantial changes in the fighting behavior of male crickets *Gryllus bimaculatus*. Journal of Comparative Physiology A 199(7): 601–609.
- Eberhard WG, 1987. Use of horns in fights by the dimorophic males of *Ageopsis nigricollis* (Coleoptera, Scarabeidae, dynastinae). Journal of the Kansas Entomological Society 60: 504– 509.

Egge AR, Swallow JG, 2011. Previous experience matters in the

stalk-eyed fly *Teleopsis dalmanni*. Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology 65(9): 1731–1737.

- Egge AR, Brandt Y, Swallow JG, 2011. Sequential analysis of aggressive interactions in the stalk-eyed fly *Teleopsis dalmanni*. Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology 65(2): 369–379.
- Emlen DJ, Allen CE, 2003. Genotype to phenotype: Physiological control of trait size and scaling in insects. Integrative and Comparative Biology 43: 617–634.
- Emlen DJ, Nijhout HF, 2000. The development and evolution of exaggerated morphologies in insects. Annual Review of Entomology 45: 661–708.
- Emlen DJ, Szafran Q, Corley LS, Dworkin I, 2006. Insulin signaling and limb-patterning: Candidate pathways for the origin and evolutionary diversification of beetle 'horns'. Heredity 97: 179–191.
- Emlen DJ, Warren IA, Johns A, Dworkin I, Lavine LC, 2012. A mechanism of extreme growth and reliable signaling in sexually selected ornaments and weapons. Science 337: 860–864.
- Emlen DJ, 2008. The evolution of animal weapons. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics 39: 387–413.
- Farooqui T, 2012. Review of octopamine in insect nervous systems. Open Access Insect Physiol. 4: 1–17.
- Feijen HR, 1983. Systematics and phylogeny of Centrioncidae, a new Afromontane family of Diptera (Schizophora). Zool. Verh. (Leiden) 202: 1–137.
- Feijen HR, 1989. Diopsidae. In: Griffiths GCD ed. Flies of the Nearctic Region. Stuttgart: E. Schweizerbartsche Verlagsbuchhandlung, 1–122.
- Fernández MP, Chan Y-B, Yew JY, Billeter J-C, Dreisewerd K et al., 2010. Pheromonal and behavioral cues trigger male-tofemale aggression in *Drosophila*. PLoS Biol. 8(11): e1000541.
- Ferveur JF, 2005. Cuticular hydrocarbons: Their evolution and roles in *Drosophila* pheromonal communication. Behavior Genetics 35(3): 279–295.
- Forster GL, Watt MJ, Korzan WJ, Renner KJ, Summers CH, 2005. Opponent recognition between male green anoles *Anolis carolinensis*. Animal Behaviour 69: 733–40.
- Geist V, 1966. The evolution of horn-like organs. Behaviour 27: 175–214.
- Goodson JL, Saldanha CJ, Hahn TP, Soma KK, 2005. Recent advances in behavioral neuroendocrinology: Insights from studies on birds. Hormones and Behavior 48: 461–473.
- Gotoh H, Cornette R, Koshikawa S, Okada Y, Lavine LC et al., 2011. Juvenile hormone regulates extreme mandible growth in male stag beetles. Plos One 6: 5.
- Gotoh H, Fukaya K, Miura T, 2012. Heritability of male mandible length in the stag beetle *Cyclommatus metallifer*. Entomol. Sci. 15: 430–433.
- Gotoh H, Miyakawa H, Ishikawa A, Ishikawa Y, Sugime Y et al., 2014. Developmental link between sex and nutrition; doublesex regulates sex-specific mandible growth via juvenile hormone signaling in stag beetles. PLoS Genet 10: 9.
- Gregg TR, Siegel A, 2001. Brain structures and neurotansmitters regulating aggression in cats: Implications for human aggression. Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology and Biological Psychiatry 25(1): 91–140.
- Halasz J, Zelena D, Toth M, Tulogdi A, Mikics E et al., 2009. Substance P neurotransmission and violent aggression: The role of tachykinin NK(1) receptors in the hypothalamic attack

area. European Journal of Pharmacology 611(1): 35-43.

- Harris-Warrick RM, Kravitz EA, 1984. Cellular mechanisms for modulation of posture by octopamine and serotonin in the lobster. The Journal of Neuroscience 4(8): 1976–1993.
- Hartenstein V, 2006. The neuroendocrine system of invertebrates: A developmental and evolutionary perspective. Journal of Endocrinology 190(3): 555–570.
- Hattori A, Sugime Y, Sasa C, Miyakawa H, Ishikawa Y et al., 2013. Soldier morphogenesis in the damp-wood termite is regulated by the insulin signaling pathway. Journal of Experimental Zoology Part B–Molecular and Developmental Evolution 320B: 295–306.
- Hingle A, Fowler K, Pomiankowski A, 2001. Size-dependent mate preference in the stalk-eyed fly *Cyrtodiopsis dalmanni*. Animal Behaviour 61(3): 589–595.
- Hoyer SC, Eckart A, Herrel A, Zars T, Fischer SA et al., 2008. Octopamine in male aggression of *Drosophila*. Current Biology 18(3): 159–167.
- Huber R, Smith K, Delago A, Isaksson K, Kravitz EA, 1997. Serotonin and aggressive motivation in crustaceans: Altering the decision to retreat. PNAS 94(11): 5939–5942.
- Husak JF, Ribak G, Baker RH, Rivera G, Wilkinson GS et al., 2013. Effects of ornamentation and phylogeny on the evolution of wing shape in stalk-eyed flies (Diopsidae). Journal of evolutionary Biology 26(6): 1281–1293.
- Husak JF, Ribak G, Wilkinson GS, Swallow JG 2011a. Compensation for exaggerated eye stalks in stalk-eyed flies (Diopsidae). Functional Ecology 25(3): 608–616.
- Husak JF, Ribak G, Wilkinson GS, Swallow JG, 2011b. Sexual dimorphism in wing beat frequency in relation to eye span in stalk-eyed flies (Diopsidae). Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 104(3): 670–679.
- Ling TJ, Summers CH, Renner KJ, Watt MJ, 2010. Opponent recognition and social status differentiate rapid neuroendocrine responses to social challenge. Physiology & Behavior 99: 571– 578.
- Johnson O, Becnel J, Nichols CD, 2009. Serotonin 5-HT(2) and 5-HT(1A)-like receptors differentially modulate aggressive behaviors in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Neuroscience 158(4): 1292–1300.
- Karl T, Lin S, Schwarzer C, Sainsbury A, Couzens M et al., 2004. Y1 receptors regulate aggressive behavior by modulating serotonin pathways. PNAS 101(34): 12742–12747.
- Katsouni E, Sakkas P, Zarros A, Skandali N, Liapi C, 2009. The involvement of substance P in the induction of aggressive behavior. Peptides 30(8): 1586–1591.
- Kijimoto T, Moczek AP, Andrews J, 2012. Diversification of doublesex function underlies morph-, sex-, and speciesspecific development of beetle horns. PNAS 109: 20526– 20531.
- Kravitz EA, Huber R, 2003. Aggression in invertebrates. Current Opinion in Neurobiology 13(6): 736–743.
- Kruk MR, Van Der Laan CE, Mos J, Van der Poel AM, Meelis W et al., 1984. Comparison of aggressive behaviour induced by electrical stimulation in the hypothalamus of male and female rats. Progress in Brain Research 61: 303–314.
- Liu W, Liang X, Gong J, Yang Z, Zhang YH et al., 2011. Social regulation of aggression by pheromonal activation of Or65a olfactory neurons in *Drosophila*. Nature Neuroscience 14(7):

896-902.

- Livingstone MS, Harris-Warrick RM, Kravitz EA, 1980. Serotonin and octopamine produce opposite postures in lobsters. Science 208(4439): 76–79.
- Lorch PD, Wilkinson GS, Reillo PR, 1993. Copulation duration and sperm precedence in the stalk-eyed fly *Cyrtodiopsis whitei* (Diptera: Diopsidae). Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology 32(5): 303–311.
- Miczek KA, de Almeida RM, Kravitz EA, Rissman EF, de Boer SF et al., 2007. Neurobiology of escalated aggression and violence. The Journal of Neuroscience 27(44): 11803–11806.
- Moczek AP, Emlen DJ, 2000. Male horn dimorphism in the scarab beetle *Onthophagus taurus*: Do alternative reproductive tactics favour alternative phenotypes? Anim Behav 59: 1273– 1273.
- Moore AJ, Haynes KF, Preziosi RF, Moore PJ, 2002. The evolution of interacting phenotypes: Genetics and evolution of social dominance. Am. Nat. 160: S186–S197.
- Moore D, Paquette C, Shropshire JD, Seier E, Joplin KH, 2014. Extensive reorganization of behavior accompanies ontogeny of aggression in male flesh flies. PLoS ONE 9: 18.
- Nelson RJ, Trainor BC, 2007. Neural mechanisms of aggression. Nature Reviews Neuroscience 8(7): 536–546.
- Okada Y, Gotoh H, Miura T, Miyatake T, Okada K, 2012. Juvenile hormone mediates developmental integration between exaggerated traits and supportive traits in the horned flour beetle *Gnatocerus cornutus*. Evol. Dev. 14: 363–371.
- Panhuis TM, Wilkinson GS, 1999. Exaggerated male eye span influences contest outcome in stalk-eyed flies (Diopsidae). Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology 46(4): 221–227.
- Peeke HVS, Blank GS, Figler MH, Chang ES, 2000. Effects of exogenous serotonin on a motor behavior and shelter competition in juvenile lobsters *Homarus americanus*. Journal of Comparative Physiology A 186(6): 575–582.
- Perez-Hedo M, Rivera-Perez C, Noriega FG, 2014. Starvation increases insulin sensitivity and reduces juvenile hormone synthesis in mosquitoes. PLoS ONE 9: 7.
- Ribak G, Egge AR, Swallow JG, 2009a. Saccadic head rotations during walking in the stalk-eyed fly *Cyrtodiopsis dalmanni*. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 276: 1643–1649.
- Ribak G, Pitts ML, Wilkinson GS, Swallow JG, 2009b. Wing shape, wing size, and sexual dimorphism in eye-span in stalkeyed flies (Diopsidae). Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 98(4): 860–871.
- Ribak G, Swallow JG, 2007. Free flight maneuvers of stalk-eyed flies: Do eye-stalks affect aerial turning behavior? Journal of Comparative Physiology A 193(10): 1065–1079.
- Rillich J, Stevenson PA, 2011. Winning fights induces hyperaggression via the action of the biogenic amine octopamine in crickets. PLoS ONE 6(12): e28891.
- Rillich J, Stevenson PA, 2014. A fighter's comeback: Dopamine is necessary for recovery of aggression after social defeat in crickets. Hormones and Behavior 66(4): 696–704.
- Roeder T, 2005. Tyramine and octopamine: Ruling behavior and metabolism. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 50: 447–477.
- Roeder T, Seifert M, Kähler C, Gewecke M, 2003. Tyramine and octopamine: Antagonistic modulators of behavior and metabolism. Archives of insect Biochemistry and Physiology 54(1):

1-13.

- Siegel A, Schubert KL, Shaikh MB, 1997. Neurotransmitters regulating defensive rage behavior in the cat. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews 21(6): 733–742.
- Simmons LW, 1986. Intermale competition and mating success in the field cricket *Gryllus bimaculatus* (deGeer). Anim. Behav. 34: 567–579.
- Stevenson PA, Schildberger K, 2013. Mechanisms of experience dependent control of aggression in crickets. Current Opinion in Neurobiology 23(3): 318–323.
- Stevenson PA, Dyakonova V, Rillich J, Schildberger K, 2005. Octopamine and experience-dependent modulation of aggression in crickets. The Journal of Neuroscience 25(6): 1431– 1441.
- Stevenson PA, Hofmann HA, Schoch K, Schildberger K, 2000. The fight and flight responses of crickets depleted of biogenic amines. Journal of Neurobiology 43(2): 107–120.
- Steyskal GC, 1972. A catalogue of species and key to the genera of the family of Diopsidae. Stuttgarter Beitr Naturkd No 234: 1–20.
- Stockermans BC, Hardy ICW, 2013. Subjective and objective components of resource value additively increase aggression in parasitoid contests. Biol. Lett. 9: 4.
- Suzaki Y, Katsuki M, Miyatake T, Okada Y, 2013. Male courtship behavior and weapon trait as indicators of indirect benefit in the bean bug *Riptortus pedestris*. PLoS ONE 8(12): e83278.
- Swallow JG, Hayes JP, Koteja P, Garland Jr. T, 2009. Selection experiments and experimental evolution of performance and physiology. In: Garland Jr. T, Rose MR ed. Experimental Evolution: Concepts, Methods, and Applications of Selection Experiments. Berkeley, California: University of California Press, 301–351.
- Swallow JG, Wallace LE, Christianson SJ, Johns PM, Wilkinson GS, 2005. Genetic divergence does not predict change in ornament expression among populations of stalk-eyed flies. Molecular Ecology 14(12): 3787–3800.
- Swallow JG, Wilkinson GS, Marden JH, 2000. Aerial performance of stalk-eyed flies that differ in eye span. Journal of Comparative Physiology B 170 (7): 481–487.
- Tierney A, Mangiamele L, 2001. Effects of serotonin and serotonin analogs on posture and agonistic behavior in crayfish. Journal of Comparative Physiology A 187(10): 757–767.
- Toth AL, Robinson GE, 2007. Evo-devo and the evolution of social behavior. Trends in Genetics 23(7): 334–341.
- Tsai YJJ, Barrows EM, Weiss MR, 2014. Why do larger and older males win contests in the parasitoid wasp *Nasonia vitripennis*? Anim. Behav. 91: 151–159.
- Unrug J, Tomkins JL, Radwan J, 2004. Alternative phenotypes and sexual selection: Can dichotomous handicaps honestly signal quality? Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. B–Biol Sci 271: 1401– 1406.
- Verlinden H, Vleugels R, Marchal E, Badisco L, Pflüger HJ et al.,

2010. The role of octopamine in locusts and other arthropods. Journal of Insect Physiology 56(8): 854–867.

- Wang LM, Anderson DJ, 2010. Identification of an aggressionpromoting pheromone and its receptor neurons in *Drosophila*. Nature 463: 227–U114.
- Wang L, Anderson DJ, 2009. Identification of an aggressionpromoting pheromone and its receptor neurons in *Drosophila*. Nature 463(7278): 227–231.
- Warren IA, Gotoh H, Dworkin IM, Emlen DJ, Lavine LC, 2013. A general mechanism for conditional expression of exaggerated sexually-selected traits. Bioessays 35: 889–899.
- Warren IA, Vera JC, Johns A, Zinna R, Marden JH et al., 2014. Insights into the development and evolution of exaggerated traits using de novo transcriptomes of two species of horned scarab beetles. PLoS ONE 9: 14.
- Watson NL, Simmons LW, 2010. Male and female secondary sexual traits show different patterns of quantitative genetic and environmental variation in the horned beetle *Onthophagus sagittarius*. Journal of Evolutionary Biology 23: 2397–2402.
- Watt MJ, Forster GL, Korzan WJ, Renner KJ, Summers CH, 2007. Rapid neuroendocrine responses evoked at the onset of social challenge. Physiol. Behav. 90(4): 567–575.
- Wcislo WT, Eberhard WG, 1989. Club fights in the weevil Macromerus bicinctus (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). Journal of the Kansas Entomological Society 62: 421–429.
- Wilkinson GS, Dodson GN, 1997. Function and evolution of antlers and eye stalks in flies. In: Choe J, Crespi B ed. Evolution of Mating Systems in Insects and Arachnids. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 310–328.
- Wilkinson GS, Johns PM, Metheny JD, Baker RH, 2013. Sexbiased gene expression during head development in a sexually dimorphic stalk-eyed fly. PLoS ONE 8(3): e59826.
- Wilkinson GS, Johns PM, 2005. Sexual selection and the evolution of mating systems in flies. In: Yeates DK, Weigmann BM ed. The Biology of Diptera. New York: Columbia University Press, 312–339.
- Wilkinson GS, Kahler H, Baker RH, 1998. Evolution of female mating preferences in stalk-eyed flies. Behavioral Ecology 9(5): 525–533.
- Wilkinson GS, Reillo PR, 1994. Female choice response to artificial selection on an exaggerated male trait in a stalk-eyed fly. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. B-Biological Sciences 255(1342): 1– 6.
- Worthington AM, Swallow JG, 2010. Gender differences in survival and antipredatory behavior in stalk-eyed flies. Behavioral Ecology 21(4): 759–766.
- Worthington AM, Berns CM, Swallow JG, 2012. Size matters, but so does shape: Quantifying complex shape changes in a sexually selected trait in stalk-eyed flies (Diptera: Diopsidae). Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 106(1): 104–113.
- Zhou C, Rao Y, Rao Y, 2008. A subset of octopaminergic neurons are important for *Drosophila* aggression. Nature Neuroscience 11(9): 1059–1067.